

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 3 are in the application.

Claim 1 has been amended a second time, with the previous underlined material retained but not underlined and the material previously stricken through has been dropped from the claim.


Inadvertently claim 1 did not include "to the shaft" in line 3 as originally filed. Such terminology appears in the application at page 2, the first paragraph, line 3 and at page 5, the last paragraph, line 3.

In the response to the non-final Office action the differences over Becht et al. 5,094,000 were listed and are included herein by reference. Further, the applicant points out that Becht et al. does not indicate a clamping device securing the tool to the shaft as presently set forth in claim 1.

Accordingly it is submitted that Becht et al. does not disclose or suggest the electric power tool as claimed and a favorable action on the application is solicited.

A petition for a one-month extension of time is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
David Toren, Reg. No. 19,468

July 22, 2004

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019
(212) 839-7355

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 22, 2004.

